

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
3 JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Jacksonville, Florida  
5 Plaintiff, Case No. 3:13-mj-1087-JRK  
6 -vs- Tuesday, April 23, 2013  
7 FREDERIC CILINS, 4:00 p.m.  
8 Defendant. Courtroom 5D

---

9  
10 **DIGITALLY RECORDED TELEPHONIC STATUS HEARING**  
11 **BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES R. KLINDT**  
12 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

13 **A P P E A R A N C E S**

14 GOVERNMENT COUNSEL:

15 **Kelly Karase, Esquire**  
16 U.S. Attorney's Office  
300 North Hogan Street, Suite 700  
Jacksonville, FL 32202

17 DEFENSE COUNSEL:

18 **Michelle Smith, Esquire**  
19 Law Office of Michelle P. Smith  
P.O. Box 1788  
20 Orlando, FL 32802-1788

21 COURT REPORTER:

22 Shelli Kozachenko  
23 221 North Hogan Street, #185  
Jacksonville, FL 32202  
24 Telephone: (904) 301-6842  
Fax: (904) 301-6846

25 (Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript produced by computer.)

P R O C E E D I N G S

Tuesday, April 23, 2013

4:00 p.m.

- - -

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.

MS. SMITH: Good afternoon, Judge.

MS. KARASE: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: This is United States of America  
against Frederic Cilins, 3:13-mj-1087-JRK. Ms. Karase is on  
the telephone on behalf of the United States, and Ms. Smith  
is on the telephone on behalf of Mr. Cilins?

MS. SMITH: Cilins.

THE COURT: Cilins. Okay.

MS. SMITH: I get it -- it's fine, Judge. Don't --

THE COURT: Okay. I apologize.

MS. SMITH: No. No, no, no.

THE COURT: Mr. Cilins is not present. This is  
just a status regarding scheduling that we're holding  
telephonically. We've already started Mr. Cilins' detention  
hearing, and it was continued originally for, I believe,  
today.

But because of certain documents not being  
available, we've decided to continue the hearing, but I  
wanted to go ahead and make sure we're all on the same page  
because just in Ms. Chaddock, my courtroom deputy, relating  
things to me, I started thinking I may have miscommunicated

1 what we were actually doing previously because I think we had  
2 set Mr. Cilins' case for a detention hearing and preliminary  
3 hearing, and then when we were proceeding last week, we were  
4 really proceeding just on the detention hearing.

5           And I think there may have been some thought that  
6 we were doing the detention and the preliminary hearing,  
7 which, you know, was really probably caused by my  
8 misinterpretation when I was explaining to Ms. Smith that we  
9 do these detention hearings so differently here than they do  
10 them in Orlando and other divisions.

11           But that was not intended to be the preliminary  
12 hearing. For a preliminary hearing the government would have  
13 to -- have to call one or more witnesses to establish  
14 probable cause. So I wanted to make sure that we're on the  
15 same page, that tomorrow -- it is tomorrow, right, or is it  
16 Thursday?

17           MS. SMITH: Thursday, Your Honor.

18           THE COURT: I apologize. There I go again.

19           Thursday we will convene for the detention hearing  
20 and get as much done as we can to finish that, and then if  
21 there are additional documents that need to be submitted or  
22 anything else that needs to be done, we would finish that up  
23 on Monday and conduct the preliminary hearing on Monday, is  
24 how I was viewing that.

25           So, Ms. Karase, why don't I start with you. Is

1 that -- does that sound like something we can accomplish?

2 MS. KARASE: It does, Your Honor. And just so the  
3 Court is aware, I do not anticipate the need for a  
4 preliminary hearing. I expect Mr. Cilins to be indicted. Of  
5 course, I can't control these things, but we will be  
6 presenting an indictment in advance of that Monday hearing  
7 time.

8 THE COURT: All right. Well, I still would intend  
9 to keep things as I said in the event that either the case  
10 isn't presented to the grand jury or the grand jury fails to  
11 return a true bill.

12 Ms. Smith, does that sound like a workable schedule  
13 for you?

14 MS. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm sorry that I was  
15 confused because I -- I guess that was my -- the look on my  
16 face when you said you proceeded by proffer because I was  
17 under the impression we were doing both the detention and PE,  
18 the combined.

19 That's pretty common in the district. That's  
20 probably why I was sort of a little struck on Tuesday because  
21 the notice indicated it would be set for Thursday, the 18th,  
22 for both. That's what I proceeded and that's what I prepared  
23 for, and I was surprised they didn't have an agent there.

24 THE COURT: Yes. And I -- and that's why, you  
25 know, it struck me that I should have known that that's how

1 it's done, I think, everywhere else in the district. But  
2 here the practice is to separate the two and allow the  
3 government to proceed by proffer in the detention hearing,  
4 and it didn't go off in my brain that, you know, I hadn't  
5 communicated that well until today.

6 And so I really am sorry for that.

7 MS. SMITH: And, Your Honor, just so that it is  
8 completely preserved for appellate review, I object at this  
9 point to a continuance of the PE for the purpose of allowing  
10 the government to run and get an indictment. We believe that  
11 under the standing case law that at least two of the three  
12 counts are not viable under the case law, and I had intended  
13 on arguing that.

14 I certainly -- had I known that this was the --  
15 that we had not started the PE, I would have come up today  
16 and proceeded without the documents. And, again, what I did  
17 receive late last night, I received a scanned copy of a  
18 letter that arrived in Jacksonville this morning. It arrived  
19 at a local attorney's office from the consul, so I would have  
20 proceeded with that.

21 I'm still waiting on additional documents,  
22 including the passport, but I would have proceeded today. I  
23 would certainly not have continued had I understood that we  
24 had not already started the PE.

25 THE COURT: No. I understand that, and I think the

1 record's clear -- clear now that I hadn't made it clear  
2 previously in terms of what was actually going on because of  
3 the way that we do it here, although I think I probably would  
4 have to go back and look at the record to see exactly what  
5 was said about, you know, whether the government was ready to  
6 proceed or you were ready to proceed with the detention  
7 hearing and whether I mentioned the preliminary hearing.

8 I think they were both scheduled at the same time,  
9 and that's, again, the practice here is to schedule them at  
10 the same time so that we don't lose track of them, hold the  
11 detention hearing, and then if a defendant is released on  
12 bond, we have much more time to work with because of the 21  
13 days. And if a defendant is detained, then the preliminary  
14 hearing has to be set within the 14 days.

15 And so that's why it's done the way it is here,  
16 and -- but I understand the confusion because I don't think  
17 it's done that way probably anywhere else in the district.  
18 So I understand that.

19 MS. KARASE: And, Your Honor, just -- this is  
20 Ms. Karase. Just to be clear that the government is not  
21 seeking a continuation of the preliminary hearing. We're  
22 seeking, you know, to hold the hearing within the 14 days  
23 that are -- that are provided under Rule 5.1(c).

24 THE COURT: Well, I understand that you're not  
25 seeking an extension of that time, but oftentimes the

1 preliminary hearing is set for a certain date. And, again,  
2 I'm guessing -- I would have to look at the notice, but I'm  
3 guessing I set the -- if you look at the actual document, I  
4 set the detention hearing and preliminary hearing -- the  
5 order probably says or the notice says that both are being  
6 held on Thursday.

7           So I think that's where Ms. Smith is lodging her  
8 objection is that -- that she believed and was under the  
9 impression that it was scheduled at the same time when we  
10 held it last week and that we were continuing both until  
11 today.

12           And that captures your position, doesn't it,  
13 Ms. Smith? Am I saying it --

14           MS. SMITH: It does, Your Honor. And I did take  
15 the liberty and review both your initial minutes, which is, I  
16 think, at document 2 in the court file, and the minutes from  
17 Thursday's hearing. The ones from the 15th and the 18th both  
18 mention the 15th scheduling the PE and detention for the  
19 18th. The 18th -- the Court minutes from the 18th delineate  
20 them as a detention and preliminary hearing, and then those  
21 minutes also reference the continuation of the preliminary  
22 hearing and detention hearing for the 23rd.

23           So -- and then the Court's order, I believe, from  
24 yesterday -- and I did not look at it, but I believe it --  
25 and I can pull it up now, but I believe it also delineates

1 that we were in a detention and preliminary hearing.

2 So that is the basis of the objection, is that by  
3 the Court's own documents, it appeared we had started. And  
4 at this point in time, I would certainly object to giving the  
5 government additional time to bite at the apple when they  
6 didn't come in prepared based upon what was in the court --  
7 court minutes and the court documents.

8 MS. KARASE: Well, and if I --

9 THE COURT: Well --

10 MS. KARASE: -- may respond, Your Honor?

11 In the transcript from the proceedings that were  
12 held on -- on, I guess it was, Thursday of last week, Your  
13 Honor when we began the hearing talked about how, "And so  
14 we're set for the detention hearing, Ms. Smith. Are you  
15 ready to proceed today?" And then we proceeded with the  
16 detention hearing.

17 So with reference to what was stated before the  
18 actual start of the hearing, it was clear that it was a  
19 detention hearing from -- from the point when we went on the  
20 record on -- on that Thursday.

21 THE COURT: Well, I understand where Ms. Smith's  
22 coming from though because every -- every order or notice and  
23 the minutes would reflect that the detention hearing and  
24 preliminary hearing were set at the same time.

25 And I'm guessing that if we had a transcript of the



1 initial appearance, I probably said -- of course, the  
2 transcript would speak for itself, but my practice has been,  
3 for the last few years since I've been doing this, is to --  
4 is generally to set the detention hearing and preliminary  
5 hearing at the same time so that I don't lose track of and  
6 forget to schedule the preliminary hearing.

7           And then I tell the parties that if either side  
8 needs additional time for the preliminary hearing when we  
9 convene for the detention and preliminary hearing, that I  
10 would look favorably upon allowing them to have additional  
11 time, just as long as we complete the hearing within the 14  
12 days.

13           Of course, Ms. Smith wouldn't be expected to know  
14 that practice, and I can surely see why she would have  
15 thought and believed that we were conducting the preliminary  
16 hearing. And I thought her surprise the other day was just  
17 because we do detention hearings this way, but it was  
18 really -- it was really a double surprise because she thought  
19 we were doing the detention and the preliminary hearing.

20           And I have to say -- and I know it works  
21 differently, Ms. Smith, I'm sure, in Orlando and probably  
22 elsewhere, but I think in the maybe five-and-a-half years  
23 that I've been doing this, I've only had one preliminary  
24 hearing, believe it or not. We just don't have them very  
25 often, and that's just, I think, the way things are just

1 practiced differently here.

2           So if I miscommunicated on all of that -- and I  
3 clearly stated detention and preliminary hearings in my  
4 order -- my intention was -- and I think it was stated at the  
5 initial appearance where, of course, Ms. Smith wasn't here  
6 because Mr. Cilins had been arrested and she hadn't been  
7 retained for this matter. I had said that we'd set it for  
8 the detention and preliminary hearing and if anyone needed a  
9 continuance of the preliminary hearing, that that would be  
10 granted, and I don't think that was ever officially done.  
11 For some reason it was just assumed.

12           But in any event, we'll proceed with the detention  
13 hearing on Thursday, and the miscommunication on my part is  
14 clear for whatever -- whatever review may be done on it. And  
15 I think that as long as we're within the 14 days, I don't --  
16 I don't see a legal impediment to this case moving forward.

17           But -- and, Ms. Smith, if you have some cases or  
18 something you want to show me when you come Thursday, I'll be  
19 glad to look at them.

20           MS. SMITH: Okay.

21           THE COURT: And, again, to the extent that our  
22 practice differs from where you practice and I didn't make it  
23 clear, I do apologize.

24           MS. SMITH: Your Honor, I understand -- I  
25 understand the issue, and you're correct. And fortunately or

1 unfortunately, I've conducted PEs probably in Tampa, Fort  
2 Myers, Jacksonville -- or not Jacksonville but in Orlando.  
3 Probably the only two courthouses are Ocala, because I don't  
4 get there very much, and Jacksonville. So I've had PEs over  
5 the years and actually had cases dismissed at PE, so --

6 THE COURT: The only one I did, I did dismiss it at  
7 the PE, so --

8 MS. SMITH: The other thing, Your Honor, if I can  
9 sort of switch gears, if we're finished, if we're concluded  
10 with this issue.

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 MS. SMITH: Okay. May I -- I'm going to file a  
13 motion to bring a telephone because I'm expecting a Federal  
14 Express -- actually it's an international two-day delivery of  
15 the documents to an attorney in Jacksonville on Thursday.  
16 We're getting more tomorrow morning and the rest on Thursday.

17 I want to notify you I'm going to file a motion to  
18 bring my telephone into the courthouse. I'll obviously turn  
19 it off so that it just vibrates, but I may need to be reached  
20 if I need to run downstairs or whatever to pick up the  
21 documents.

22 THE COURT: Yes. That's absolutely fine. And I  
23 think -- let me just ask Ms. Chaddock.

24 Given the late notice, is it necessary for her to  
25 file a motion, or can we just advise -- we'll just treat that

1 as an oral motion and grant it. Okay.

2 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Judge.

3 THE COURT: So you don't have to file that.

4 And then what time's going to be best for everyone  
5 on Thursday, 9:00 or 10:00? I can start it either time.

6 MS. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm fine with 9:00. That  
7 way that gives us a little more time. I think your afternoon  
8 is fairly booked from when I spoke with Ms. Chaddock, so I  
9 can start at 9:00. I probably will either come up late  
10 Wednesday night or early, early Thursday, but probably  
11 Wednesday night, so --

12 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

13 MS. KARASE: 9:00 is fine for me.

14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, then, we'll  
15 start at 9 o'clock on Thursday.

16 MS. SMITH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Yes. Well, thank you.

18 And thanks for everyone being available at such  
19 short notice, and I'll see you all on Thursday.

20 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Judge.

21 MS. KARASE: Sounds great, Judge. Thank you.

22 THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess.

23 (The proceedings were concluded at 4:14 p.m.)

24 - - -

25

## 1 CERTIFICATE

2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )

4 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA )

5  
6  
7 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a  
8 true and correct computer-aided transcription of my stenotype  
9 notes taken at the time and place indicated therein.10  
11 DATED this 3rd day of May, 2013.12  
13  
14 s/Shellli Kozachenko  
15 Shellli Kozachenko, RPR, CRR  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25